

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Reinhold Schmieding	P/1493-442	CONFIRMATION NO
09/981,754	10/19/2001				
24998	7590	06/25/2003			
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP				EXAMINER	
2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526			CHRISTMAN, KATHLEEN M		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
÷				3713	
				DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

b						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/981,754	SCHMIEDING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kathleen M Christma					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re - Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimur n statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (ply will, by statute, cause the application to bec is after the mailing date of this communication,	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. some ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	. 12					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	m for foreign priority under 35 U :	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	ty documents have been received					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copie	s of the priority documents have mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this National Stage (a)).				
	·	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign I 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	anguage provisional application h	nas been received.				
Attachment(s)		33 120 ana/01 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				

Application/Control Number: 09/981,754

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 12/12/2001. These drawings are approved as formal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramshaw et al (US 5791907). Ramshaw et al teaches an instruction aid for training a user to perform a surgical technique comprising: an interactive template accessible by a user (an interactive user environment, col. 2: 52); an animated demonstration of the surgical technique provided in the interactive template (the images used in the "Perform Surgery" feature); a video recording demonstrating performance of the surgical technique on a patient, provided in the interactive template (the "Observe Surgery" feature) and information relating to any surgical instruments necessary for performing the surgical procedure, provided in the interactive template (the "Instruments" section col. 8: 65-67). Access to published references relating to the surgical technique, as in claim 2, is taught as the "References" section, see col. 8: 59-66.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/981,754

Art Unit: 3713

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramshaw et al (US 5791907) in view of Ceretta et al (US 6370355 B1). Ramshaw et al teaches all aspects of the claim as shown above but is silent as to the interactive template including a link to a website to be accessed through a network telecommunication channel (claim 3), a link to a schedule of training courses for the surgical technique (claim 4) and the link to the training schedule enabling a user to interactively register for a training course with a training facility (claim 5).

Ceretta et al teaches the use of websites throughout the specification, one example of which is at col. 5: 43-62. A link to a registrations page along with the ability to register for a training course is taught in at least col. 22: 45+ under the section "I-led Schedule". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the web-page based functions of Ceretta into the network enabled teaching system of Ramshaw et al so as to provide a user with the ability to seek further information on a topic and schedule attendance in a class in a convenient and efficient manner.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.



Application/Control Number: 09/981,754

Art Unit: 3713

a. Eggert et al (US 6443735 B1) teaches a computer based educational system for training

a user in various medical procedures

Pellegrino et al (US 6149441) teaches the use of web-pages and other networked feature b.

in a training system

Mukai et al (US 6126450) teaches a system for training a user in a medical procedure, C.

includes the use a of a computer simulation

Bailey (US 5800179) teaches a medical simulator for training a user on a surgical

technique

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Kathleen M Christman whose telephone number is (703) 308-6374. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Kathleen M. Christman

June 19, 2003

Page 4